IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.No.4259 of 2005
Date of decision : 25.9.2006.

Varsha Sethi.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Rahul Sethi.

.....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD K. SHARMA

Present : Mr. I.P.S. Doabia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

****

VINOD K. SHARMA,J.(ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 2.6.2005 passed by the learned Addl. District Judge, Faridabad for enhancement of maintenance granted to petitioner-wife at the rate of Rs.1000/- p.m. and Rs. 500/- to the minor son.

The learned Court below came to the conclusion that the petitioner has no fixed source of income and liability was fixed only for the reason that the husband was bound to maintain his wife. The learned counsel for the respondent has pointed out that the main petition has been decided on 25.3.2005.

No ground for enhancement is made out.

Dismissed.

September 25,2006
'sp'

(VINOD K. SHARMA)
JUDGE